

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMAȚION NO.	
10/069,787 02/28/2002		Christian Freyenberg	449122021400	5010	
	7590 01/30/2007 FOEDSTER LLP		EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD		MEHRA, INDER P			
SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•	·	01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Bri

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/069,787	FREYENBERG, CHRISTIAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Inder P. Mehra	2617	

Defense the Filling of an Asses ID 1.6						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Inder P. Mehra	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	harden and the second	***				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	-					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		*				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.	•				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•			
 B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessariated.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n or the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:		X1348				
		JOHN PEZZLO				
·	P	RIMARY EXAMINE	R			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that neither Ahuja nor Brand teaches the features of converting the signaling information in the switching

center to at least one message which is transmitted to at least one telecommunications service server or that the at least one telecommunication service server carries out telecommunications services which correspond to the at least one message. Brand teaches that a calling party transmits a message to a telephone belonging to a recipient party where the message is transmitted via an Internet Protocol network (abstract). Brand discloses that the message delivered from the calling party to the recipient party includes voice messages, audible messages containing data, and the like (col. 4, lines 64-65). However, Brand never discloses any situation in which any device in the disclosed system carries out telecommunication services which correspond to the at least one message. Brand merely discloses a system whereby people can communicate with their telephones over the Internet. This does not relate in any way to the claimed invention. Zinda likewise fails to teach or suggest the features of claim 1. Thus, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest, either alone or in combination, the features of claim 1.

In response, Examiner states that Brand discloses explicitly, with reference to fig. 2, " the message is transmitted via an Internet Protocol network. This conversion system and method preferably utilizes an Internet Protocol gateway (Internet server). The Internet Protocol gateway preferably includes a controller and a database. Further, Brand discloses, "This conversion system and method then contacts an appropriate server associated with the Internet Protocol address and transmits the message over the Internet Protocol network. The message is then transmitted from the appropriate server to the telephone number of the recipient party. Once the message is transmitted to the telephone number of the recipient party, a communication link is established between the calling party and the recipient party via the Internet Protocol network. Further, the Internet Protocol gateway has the messaging capabilities of an Intelligent Network service such as signaling system no. 7 protocol, Refer to abstract. In light of above explanation, arguments by applicant are not persuasive

Inder l'al Mehra 1/25/07

PRIMARY EXAMINER